

Logic

2: Arguments

p395 - 408

Logical Equivalence

- If two compound propositions have the same T/F Column, we say that they are logically equivalent (logically the same).

Eg: Show that $\neg(p \wedge q)$ and $\neg p \vee \neg q$ are logically equivalent.

Truth table for $(p \wedge q)$:

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$\neg(p \wedge q)$
T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	F	T

Truth table for $p \vee \neg q$:

p	q	$\neg q$	$p \vee \neg q$
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T

same

Tautologies & Logical Contradictions

- A tautologies is a compound statement which is always true (true for all possibilities in the truth table).
- A logical contradiction is a compound statement which is always false (False for all possibilities in the truth table).

Eg: Show that $p \vee \neg p$ is a tautology:

p	$\neg p$	$p \vee \neg p$
T	F	T
F	T	T

all Ts = tautology

Worked Examples

1. Show that $(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge p)$ is a logical contradiction.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \vee q$	$\neg q \wedge p$	$(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge p)$
T	T	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	F	F

Worked Examples

2. Show that $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg q)$ is a tautology.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$\neg p \vee \neg q$	$(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg q)$
T	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

all true
∴ tautology

Implication = If....Then

- A compound statement saying "if...then", is called an implication.
- Symbol = \Rightarrow
- The order of the propositions is important & sometimes not all combinations in the truth table are possible.

• Truth table:

p	q	$p \Rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

- note that the implication is only false when p is T & q is F

Worked Examples

4. Show that the proposition $(p \vee q) \vee (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow (p \vee q)$ is a tautology.

p	q	$p \vee q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \vee q) \vee (p \wedge q)$	$(p \vee q) \vee (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow p \vee q$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	F	T

(T ⇒ F) (F ⇒ F)
all T's tautology

Equivalence = If & only if

- Two statements are equivalent if one implies the other and vice versa.
- Symbol = \Leftrightarrow
- $p \Leftrightarrow q$ is the conjunction of 2 implications: $p \Rightarrow q$ & $q \Rightarrow p$
so $p \Leftrightarrow q = (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow p)$
- We say "if and only if" when talking about equivalence.

Eg: for p : "I will pass the exam" and q : "the exam is easy"

If I pass the exam, then the exam is easy
If the exam is easy, then I will pass the exam
I pass the exam, if and only if the exam is easy

Equivalence = If & only if

- Truth table:

p	q	$p \Rightarrow q$	\wedge	$q \Rightarrow p$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

- Truth table for equivalence:

2 same = T

p	q	$p \Leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Worked Examples

5. Suppose that p represents "it is raining" and q represents "there are puddles forming". Write the following statements in symbols.

- If it is raining, then puddles are forming $p \Rightarrow q$
- If puddles are forming, then it is raining $q \Rightarrow p$
- Puddles are not forming $\neg q$
- It is not raining $\neg p$
- If it is not raining, then puddles are not forming $\neg p \Rightarrow \neg q$
- Puddles are forming if and only if it is raining $q \Leftrightarrow p$
- If it is raining, then puddles are not forming $p \Rightarrow \neg q$

Worked Examples

6. Construct truth tables for:

- $(p \wedge q) \Rightarrow p$
- $p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$
- $(p \Leftrightarrow q) \wedge \neg p$
- $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow \neg p$

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \wedge q) \Rightarrow p$	$p \Leftrightarrow \neg q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	$(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge \neg p$
T	T	F	F	T	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	T	F	T	T	T	T

Valid Arguments

- An argument is made up of premises (propositions) that lead to a conclusion.
- Arguments are compound statements with an implication.
- Arguments are "valid" if they are always true (tautology).

Eg: Determine the validity of this argument: $(p \wedge q) \Rightarrow p$

p	q	$(p \wedge q) \Rightarrow p$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

tautology - argument is valid

Worked Examples

2. Determine the validity of this argument: $(p \supset q) \wedge (q \supset p) \supset (p \Leftrightarrow q)$.

p	q	$p \supset q$	$q \supset p$	$(p \supset q) \wedge (q \supset p)$	$p \Leftrightarrow q$	\Rightarrow
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

$\textcircled{1}$ $\textcircled{1}$ $\textcircled{1}$ $\textcircled{1}$ $\textcircled{1}$ $\textcircled{1}$

The argument is valid because it is a tautology. $\textcircled{1}$

Worked Examples (IB Question)

7. Write down the values for a, b, c, d, e and f from the table below: (6 marks)

p	q	p	$p \wedge q$	$p \vee q$	$p \vee \neg q$	$p \supset q$	$p \Leftrightarrow q$
T	T	a			d		
T	F		b				f
F	T			c			
F	F					e	

Homework

Exercise 9F p397, Q 4(all);

9G p398, odds;

9H p400, Q 8; 9I p403, Q 3, 5, 7;

9K p408 Q6, 7, 8